



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/061,474	01/31/2002	Steven Teig	SPLX.P0097	3694
48947 7590 08/16/2007 ADELI LAW GROUP, A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION 1875 CENTURY PARK EAST, SUITE 1360 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067			EXAMINER ORTIZ, BELIX M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2164	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/061,474	Applicant(s) TEIG ET AL.	
	Examiner Belix M. Ortiz	Art Unit 2164	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 July 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

1. In response to communications files on 31-July-2007. Therefore, claims 1-25 are presently pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

3. Claims 1-5, 9-16, and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) (Eff. Filing date of application: 1/31/2002) as being anticipated by Lyer et al. (U.S. Patent 6,334,205) (Eff. Filing date of application: 2/22/1999).

As to claim 1, Lyer et al. teaches a data storage structure stored on a computer-readable medium, data storage structure stores a plurality of combinational-logic sub-networks (see column 1, lines 18-20), wherein each sub-network performs a set of output functions and comprises a set of circuit elements, at least some of the sub-network comprising a first circuit having a first output outside the sub-network and a second circuit having a second output outside the sub-network, wherein the first circuit receives a direct or indirect input from the second circuit (within sub-circuit shown on the Fig. 2B including first and second output functions: y and z respectively outside of the sub-

Art Unit: 2164

circuit, wherein inputs a and b of the first and second are coupled), (within outputs y and z each having output function (col. 8, lines 1-2),

wherein each sub-network is stored based on a set of indices derived from a set of output functions performed by the sub-network, the set of indices being used to retrieve the sub-network from the data storage structure (col. 9, lines 1-5).

As to claim 2, Lyer et al. teaches a data storage structure stored on a computer-readable medium, data storage structure stores a plurality of combinational-logic sub-networks (see column 1, lines 18-20), wherein each sub-network performs a set of output functions and comprises a set of circuit elements, at least some of the sub-network comprising a first circuit having a first output outside the sub-network and a second circuit having a second output outside the sub-network, wherein the first circuit receives a direct or indirect input from the second circuit (within sub-circuit shown on the Fig. 2B including first and second output functions: y and z respectively outside of the sub-circuit, wherein inputs a and b of the first and second are coupled), (within outputs y and z each having output function (see col. 8, lines 1-2),

wherein the data storage structure stores each sub-network based on a parameter derived from a set of output functions of the sub-network, the parameter being used to retrieve the sub-network from the data storage structure (see col. 8, lines 51-54).

As to claims 3 and 14, Lyer et al. as modified teaches wherein the parameter for each sub-network is a set of indices for storing the sub-network in the storage structure,

Art Unit: 2164

wherein the set of indices includes an index for each function performed by the sub-network (see column 5, lines 12-15 and col. 8, lines 52-54).

As to claims 4 and 15, Lyer et al. as modified teaches wherein the indices are numerical indices (see column 8, 52-54).

As to claims 5 and 16, Lyer et al. as modified teaches wherein the storage structure is a relational database, and the set of indices are indices into the relational database (see column 4, lines 37-39).

As to claim 9, Lyer et al. as modified teaches wherein each sub-network's set of indices specify the location where the sub-network is stored in the data storage structure (see column 9, lines 1-7).

As to claim 10, Lyer et al. as modified teaches wherein the data storage structure stores each sub-network in terms of

(i) a graph that represents the topology of the set of circuit elements of each sub-network, wherein the graph includes a node for each circuit element of the sub-network (see figure 1B and column 2, lines 18-23)

(ii) a set of local functions that includes a local function for each node of the graph (see column 9, lines 1-5),

wherein the data storage structure stores, for each sub-network, an identifier that

Art Unit: 2164

specifies the locations that store the set of local functions and the graph of the sub-network (see col. 7, line 67; col. 8, lines 1-1-5; and column 8, lines 21-22),

wherein each sub-network's set of indices is associated with the identifier for the sub-network (see column 7, lines 1-50).

As to claim 11, Lyer et al. as modified teaches wherein each sub-network's identifier includes a graph index and a set of function indices, wherein, for each sub-network, the graph index identifies the storage location of the graph for the sub-network, and each function index identifies the storage location of a local function of the sub-network (see col. 2, lines 18-31 and col. 9, lines 1-5).

As to claim 12, Lyer et al. teaches a sub-network record management system stored on a computer-readable medium, the sub-network management system comprising:

a) a data storage structure stored on a computer-readable medium, data storage structure stores a plurality of combinational-logic sub-networks (see column 1, lines 18-20), wherein each sub-network performs a set of output functions and comprises a set of circuit elements, at least some of the sub-network comprising a first circuit having a first output outside the sub-network and a second circuit having a second output outside the sub-network, wherein the first circuit receives a direct or indirect input from the second circuit (within sub-circuit shown on the Fig. 2B including first and second output functions: y and z respectively outside of the sub-circuit, wherein inputs a and b of the

Art Unit: 2164

first and second are coupled), (within outputs y and z each having output function (see col. 8, lines 1-2),

wherein the data storage structure stores each sub-network based on a parameter derived from a set of output functions of the sub-network, the parameter being used to retrieve the sub-network from the data storage structure (see col. 8, lines 51-54);

b) a data access manager that identifies and retrieves sub-networks from the data storage structure (col. 9, lines 1-6).

As to claim 13, Lyer et al. as modified teaches wherein when the data access manager receives a parameter, the manager searches the data storage structure for sub-networks that are stored based on the received parameter, and if the manager finds a sub-network that is stored based on the received parameter, the manager retrieves the sub-network (see column 9, lines 1-7 and column 8, lines 61-65).

As to claim 24, Lyer et al. as modified teaches wherein at least some sub-networks perform at least tree output functions (see col. 1, lines 55-65).

As to claim 25, Lyer et al. as modified teaches wherein at least some sub-networks perform at least tree output functions (see col. 1, lines 55-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2164

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 6-8, 17-20, and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) (Eff. Filing date of application: 1/31/2002) as being unpatentable over Lyer et al. (U.S. Patent 6,334,205) (Eff. Filing date of application: 2/22/1999) in view of Andreev et al. (U.S. pat. 6,587,990) (Eff. Filing date of application: 10/1/2000).

As to claims 6 and 17, Lyer et al. does not teach wherein the set of indices for each sub-network includes a primary index and a set of secondary indices.

Andreev et al. teaches method and apparatus for formula area and delay minimization (see abstract), in which he teaches wherein the set of indices for each sub-network includes a primary index and a set of secondary indices (see figure 19, and column 10, lines 20-26).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Lyer et al., modified by the teaching of Andreev et al., wherein the set of indices for each sub-network includes a primary index and a set of secondary indices, would enable the record management system to find the information faster and clear.

As to claims 7 and 18, Lyer et al. as modified teaches wherein the set of secondary indices for a sub-network that only performs one function is empty (see Andreev et al., column 9, lines 64-67).

Art Unit: 2164

As to claims 8 and 19, Lyer et al. as modified teaches wherein each sub-network receives a set of inputs, and each sub-network's primary index is the index derived from a pivot function of the sub-network that depends on all the inputs in the sub-network's set of inputs (see Andreev et al., figures 17 –18 and column 10, lines 7-16).

As to claim 20, Lyer et al. as modified teach wherein when the manager receives a set of indices, the manager searches the data storage structure to find a set of indices that match the received set of indices, and if the manager finds a matching set, the manager retrieves the sub-network identified by the matching set (see Lyer et al., col. 1, lines 39-52).

As to claim 22, Lyer et al. as modified teaches wherein the data storage structure stores each sub-network in terms of

(i) a graph that represents the topology of the set of circuit elements of each sub-network, wherein the graph includes a node for each circuit element of the sub-network (see Andreev et al., figure 18 and column 10, lines 15-16)

(ii) a set of local functions that includes a local function for each node of the graph (see Andreev et al., column 11, lines 20-26),

wherein the data storage structure stores, for each sub-network, an identifier that specifies the locations that store the set of local functions and the graph of the sub-network (see Andreev et al., column 8, lines 58-62),

Art Unit: 2164

wherein each sub-network's set of indices is associated with the identifier for the sub-network (see Andreev et al., column 11, lines 54-56 and column 8, lines 61-62).

As to claim 23, Lyer et al. as modified teaches wherein each sub-network's identifier includes a graph index and a set of function indices, wherein, for each sub-network, the graph index identifies the storage location of the graph for the sub-network, and each function index identifies the storage location of a local function of the sub-network (see Andreev et al., figure 19).

6. Claims 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) (Eff. Filing date of application: 1/31/2002) as being unpatentable over Lyer et al. (U.S. Patent 6,334,205) (Eff. Filing date of application: 2/22/1999) in view of Andreev et al. (U.S. pat. 6,587,990) (Eff. Filing date of application: 10/1/2000) as applied to claims 6-8 and 17-20, 22-23 above, and further in view of Pedersen et al. (U.S. 6,134,705) (Eff. Filing date of application: 10/27/1997).

As to claim 21, Lyer et al. as modified still does not teach wherein for each particular index pair formed by the received primary index and one of the received secondary indices,

the manager identifies each sub-network stored in the storage structure that is associated with the particular index pair,

the manager then determines whether any of the identified sub-networks are associated with all the index pairs, and

if so, the manager retrieves any sub-network that is associated with all index pairs.

Pedersen et al. teaches generation of sub-netlists for use in incremental compilation (see abstract) in which he teaches wherein for each particular index pair formed by the received primary index and one of the received secondary indices (see figure 7D, characters 762, 764, 766, and 776),

the manager identifies each sub-network stored in the storage structure that is associated with the particular index pair (see figure 4A-4B and column 11, lines 62-66),

the manager then determines whether any of the identified sub-networks are associated with all the index pairs (see figure 4A-4B and column 11, lines 62-66), and

if so, the manager retrieves any sub-network that is associated with all index pairs (see Pedersen et al., figure 3A).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Lyer et al. by the teaching of Pedersen, because wherein for each particular index pair formed by the received primary index and one of the received secondary indices,

the manager identifies each sub-network stored in the storage structure that is associated with the particular index pair,

the manager then determines whether any of the identified sub-networks are associated with all the index pairs, and

Art Unit: 2164

if so, the manager retrieves any sub-network that is associated with all index pairs, would enable the record management system to a faster and easy access of the index information.


Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Belix M. Ortiz whose telephone number is 571-272-4081. The examiner can normally be reached on moday-friday 9am-5pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bmo

August 13, 2007


CHARLES RONES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER